Methodological Challenges for Evaluating Visual Approaches for Clinical Data Access

Ketan K. Mane¹ Vincent N. Carrasco² and Javed Mostafa² ¹ Renaissance Computing Institute, Chapel Hill, NC, ² School of Information and Library Science, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

User-Interface Decision Support at 3 Levels

At-a-glance view of patient treatment history.

Aggregates evidence from comparative cohort and presents it with predictive insight.

Bridges gap in the use of treatment guideline and clinical practice.

Evaluation Study Focus

To understand implications to use visualization -

- To display aggregate clinical data
- To measure value add to communicate info
- To build novel representation and interaction to view data and make sense from it.

User-interface (UI) Data Layers Evaluation

As a single view/ or in combination with others include -

- Patient outcome profile
- Patient outcome profile with Rx info
- Guideline component
- Guideline in conjunction with Patient outcome

Evaluation Hypothesis

- UI help the clinician gain a good overview of the patient condition?
- UI tailored to the match the clinician's workflow to support their decision making process?

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by funds from UNC-CTSA, RENCI, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Grant R21HS019023, and NSF Award # 1117286. We would also like to thank Phillips Owen, Charles Schmitt, Ricardo Pietrobon, Stan Ahalt, and Kirk Wilhelmsen for their comments and efforts during the development phase.

Demographics

Patient's treatment outcome profile with predictive insight (in blue)

Prescribed medications and therapy profile

Similar patients aggregated treatment evidence

Compressed guideline with patient details

Methodology: 4 Step

Pre-test Questionnaire Demo Video (showcase **User Interaction Sessio Post-test Questionnaire**

Challenge: Simulating

Designed UI Evalu data view - Use pa curves, popularit



Approach	To Q
(captures user details) es features of the UI) on (captures quantitative info) e (captures qualitative info)	For e Time Step Succe Error
real world workflow conditions	
ration toct likely to royal	Tom
ittern/variations, learning y, user-friendliness, etc.	User 5, he to 5

UANTIFY user experience

each user tasks, 4 levels of info captured e taken to complete the task s taken to complete the task cessful Completion of the task rs done during task completion

neasure user QUALITY experience

r feedback is captured on a scale from 1 to ere 1 = 'Strongly Disagree', and transition = 'Strongly Agree'.